

EXTRA-LARGE METRICS

IGOR RIVIN

Abstract. We show that every two dimensional spherical cone metric with all cone angles greater than 2π and the lengths of all closed geodesics greater than 2π admits a triangulation whose 0-skeleton is precisely the set of cone points – this is, in fact, the Delaunay triangulation of the set of cone points.

1. I

In this note we study *extra large* spherical cone manifolds in dimension 2 (though many of our results and techniques extend to higher dimensions).

A 2-dimensional *spherical cone manifold* is a metric space where all but finitely many points has a neighborhood isometric to a neighborhood of a point on the round sphere S^2 . The exceptional points (*cone points*) have neighborhoods isometric to a spherical cone, the angle of which is the *cone angle* at that point.

If M is a cone manifold, we define a *geodesic* to be a locally length minimizing curve on M . It is easy to see that such a curve is locally a great circle, except at the cone points. There, the geodesic must have the property that it subtends an angle no smaller than π on either side. Consequently, no geodesics can *pass through* cone points, where the cone angles are smaller than 2π (such cone points are known as *positively curved* cone points, since the curvature of a cone point is defined as 2π less the cone angle at the point).

We say that a spherical cone manifold is *extra large* if

- (1) All the cone points are negatively curved.
- (2) All closed geodesics are longer than 2π .

Such spaces are of considerable importance in geometry in general (due to work of A.D.Aleksandrov, M. Gromov, and then R. Charney and M. Davis [1]), and in three-dimensional hyperbolic geometry in particular, due in large part to the results of the author ([2, 6, 3, 5, 4]),

Date: February 2, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52B11, 52B10, 57M50.

Key words and phrases. triangulation, extra-large, hyperbolic polyhedra.

who showed that the polar duals of convex compact polyhedra in $\mathbb{H}^3$ are precisely the extra large spherical cone manifolds homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$. In that work, the term *extra large* was not used – it was invented by Gromov, to describe the vertex links in negatively curved spaces.

The main objective of this paper is to show:

Theorem 1. *An extra large spherical cone surface admits a cell decomposition whose 0-skeleton is precisely the set of cone points.*

For the impatient reader, we first give the recipe for constructing the cell decomposition whose existence is postulated in Theorem 1:

First, recall that the *Voronoi diagram* $\mathcal{V}_P$ of a metric space M with respect to a point set $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots\}$ is the decomposition of M into *Voronoi cells*

$$V_i = \{x \in M \mid d(x, p_i) \leq d(x, p_j), \quad \forall j\}.$$

Clearly,

$$\bigcup_i V_i = M,$$

and

$$\overset{\circ}{V}_i \cap \overset{\circ}{V}_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j.$$

As will be shown below, each V_i is a geodesic polygon, and the Voronoi diagram $\mathcal{V}_P$ is a cell decomposition of M . The *Delaunay tessellation* $\mathcal{D}_P$ of M with respect to P is the Poincaré dual of $\mathcal{V}_P$: its edges correspond to pairs p_i, p_j of sites whose Voronoi cells share an edge, while its faces correspond to points of M equidistant from three or more elements of P . The cells of $\mathcal{D}_P$ are convex, and so the tessellation $\mathcal{D}_P$ can be completed to a triangulation of M .

To push the program above through, we will need a number of steps.

2. T

We define the *injectivity radius* of the space M at a point p as the radius of the largest disk in the tangent space of p for which the exponential map is an embedding. The injectivity radius of M is the infimum over all points p of the injectivity radii of M at p . In simpler terms, the injectivity radius of M is the smallest d such that there exist at least two distinct curves from p to q realizing $d(p, q) = d$.

Our first result is:

Theorem 2. *A space M is extra large if and only if the cone points of M are negatively curved and the injectivity radius of M is greater than π .*

Proof. Let p, q be the pair of points realizing the injectivity radius. This means that there are two shortest curves γ_1, γ_2 of length $L = \ell(\gamma_1) = \ell(\gamma_2) \leq \pi$ connecting p to q , and L is the smallest with this property.

Let $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$. If γ is geodesic, then $L(\gamma) \leq 2\pi$, so we have a contradiction to extra-largeness. If not, suppose (without loss of generality) that γ has a “corner” at p . That means that on one side, the angle α subtended by γ at p is smaller than π . If γ_1 and γ_2 are both smooth, then take the bisector of α and move p a very small distance ρ along the bisector. By elementary spherical eometry,

$$\frac{d\ell(\gamma_1)}{d\rho} = \frac{d\ell(\gamma_2)}{d\rho} < 0,$$

which contradicts the minimality of L . If (without loss of generality) γ_1 is *not* smooth, while γ_2 is, let x be the cone point of γ_1 closest to p . Note that if the angle subtended by γ_1 at x on the side of the corner at p equals π , then x can be treated as a smooth point, so the correct definition of x is: the closest point of γ_1 to p , where γ_1 is not smooth on the side of the corner (if such a point does not exist between p and q , then we find ourselves back in the smooth case, which corresponds to $x = q$). Let $L_x = d(p, x)$.

In any event, now, instead of the bisector of the angle α at p , we pick a direction, such that

$$\frac{d\ell(\gamma_2)}{d\rho} = \frac{dL_x}{d\rho} < 0.$$

Such a direction exists by the intermediate value theorem. The above argument adapts in the obvious way if both γ_1 and γ_2 are singular. $\square$

3. V

Lemma 1. *For all $x \in V_i$, $d(x, p_i) < \pi/2$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists an x contradicting the assertion of the Lemma. Then the distance from x to the cone locus of M is at least $\pi/2$, and so there is a smooth hemisphere around x . The boundary of that hemisphere is a closed geodesic of length 2π . $\square$

Corollary 1. *The diameter of the Voronoi cell V_i is less than π .*

We will need the following simple lemma from spherical geometry:

Theorem 3. *The boundary of a Voronoi cell V_i is a convex polygonal curve.*

Proof. Let $x \in V_i$. Let $r = d(x, p_i)$; we know that $r < \pi/2$. Consider the disk $D_x(r)$ of radius r around x .

There are the following possibilities:

Firstly, p_i might be the *only* cone point in $D_r(x)$. In that case, a neighborhood of x is in V_i , and so x is in the interior of V_i .

Secondly, there may be exactly one other point p_j such that $d(x, p_j) = r$. In that case, a small geodesic segment bisecting the angle $p_i x p_j$ lies in $V_i \cap V_j$.

Thirdly, there can be a number of points $p_i, p_{j_1}, p_{j_2}, \dots, p_{j_k}$ at distance r from x . In that case a small part of the cone from x to the Voronoi region of p_i on the boundary of $D_r(x)$ lies in V_i – note that this argument works in arbitrary dimension. $\square$

Theorem 4. *A Voronoi cell V_i is star-shaped with respect to p_i .*

Proof. Let $x \in V_i$, and let y be on the segment $p_i x$. By the triangle inequality, we see that

$$d(y, \partial D_x(r)) + d(y, x) > r.$$

Since for any $j \neq i$ we have that

$$d(y, p_j) > d(y, \partial D_x(r)),$$

the assertion of the Theorem follows. $\square$

Theorem 5. *Every Voronoi cell V_i is convex.*

Proof. By the preceding result, every Voronoi cell V_i is a star-shaped subset of a cone of radius $\pi/2$ centered on p_i , with geodesically convex boundary. Take two points p and q in V_i . If one of the angles $pp_i q$ does not exceed π , the result follows from elementary spherical geometry. If both the angles $pp_i q$ are at least π , the broken line $pp_i q$ is geodesic. $\square$

Theorem 6. *Let V_i, V_j be two Voronoi cells, then $V_i \cap V_j$ is connected.*

Proof. Let $p, q \in V_i \cap V_j$. Here is a shortest geodesic γ_i from p to q in V_i and a shortest geodesic γ_j from p to q in V_j . Since the diameters of V_i and V_j are smaller than π , it follows that $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$. Thus, $\gamma = \gamma_i = \gamma_j \subseteq V_i \cap V_j$, hence $V_i \cap V_j$ is path connected. In fact, the argument (together with the results above) easily shows that $V_i \cap V_j$ is an edge of both. $\square$

The above results show that $\mathcal{V}_P = \{V_1, \dots, V_n, \dots\}$ is a simplicial cell decomposition, and so its dual is a cellulation of M with vertices at $p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots$. The cells of cellulation are convex (in fact inscribed in circles; The centers are precisely the corners of the boundaries of the cells V_i), and so the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

4. R

An identical argument with the appropriate modification of the extra-largeness hypothesis can be used to show an analogous result for a Riemannian surface with cone singularities. In particular, for Euclidean and Hyperbolic cone surfaces, it is sufficient to require the cone angles to be non-positively curved.

R

- [1] Ruth Charney and Michael Davis. The polar dual of a convex polyhedral set in hyperbolic space. *Michigan Math. J.*, 42(3):479–510, 1995.
- [2] Igor Rivin. *On the Geometry of Convex Polyhedra in Hyperbolic 3-Space*. PhD thesis, Princeton University, July 1986.
- [3] Igor Rivin. On the geometry of ideal polyhedra in hyperbolic 3-space. 32(1):87–92, January 1993.
- [4] Igor Rivin. Euclidean structures on simplicial surfaces and hyperbolic volume. *Annals of Mathematics (ser. 2)*, 139(3):553–580, May 1994.
- [5] Igor Rivin. Combinatorial optimization in geometry. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 31(1):242–271, 2003. arxiv.org preprint math.GT/9907032.
- [6] Igor Rivin and C.D.Hodgson. A characterization of compact convex polyhedra in hyperbolic 3-space. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, pages 77–111, January 1993. Corrigendum, vol 117, page 359.